Chapter Twenty-Five

NEUROLINGUISTIC PROGRAMMING - AN INTERIM VERDICT

M. Heap

This chapter is a modified version of a paper given by the author at the Fourth European Congress of Hypnosis in Psychotherapy and Psychosomatic Medicine, 1987, Oxford.

Neurolinguistic programming (NLP) is a model of human behaviour and cognition which describes how people represent their world, how they interact and communicate with it and with one another, how it can be that they can experience distress and disappointment in these interactions, and how they can be helped to change their representation of the world to alleviate their distress and cope with life more effectively and with greater fulfilment. Based on the tenets of NLP, strategies have been formulated whereby it is asserted that counsellors, therapists and communicators may enhance their effectiveness in helping their clients, and therapeutic procedures have been outlined which it is claimed bring about far more rapid and effective changes than hitherto in the formal practice of psychotherapy.

Perhaps the central philosophy of NLP is most aptly summed up in the sentence 'The Map is not the Territory' (see, e.g. Lankton, 1980, p.7). That is, each one of us only ever operates on the basis of our internal representation of the world (our 'map') and not the world itself (the 'territory'). The maps that we create may be limited in many ways, impoverished, distorted and inflexible. The choices which we thus make available to ourselves are restricted, and our transactions with the world will accordingly be frustrating and difficult. It is therefore the therapist's to understand and operate on the basis of the client's map of the world in order to assist the client to overcome these restrictions and thus provide him with more choices.

THE PRIMARY REPRESENTATIONAL SYSTEM

One of the important concepts of NLP is the primary

representational system (PRS). The maps that people make of their world are represented by the five senses, visual (V), auditory (A), kinaesthetic (K), olfactory (O) and gustatory (G). V, A and K are thought to be the major ones and individuals differ in the way they employ these representational systems. For example, a person may tend to represent his world in the V mode, i.e. through internal pictures, another person may tend to use a K representation, i.e. through feeling, and a third person may have a predominantly A representation, through sounds and verbalization (Grinder and Bandler, 1976; Bandler and Grinder, 1979).

The personal consequences of having one PRS as opposed to another are not greatly elaborated upon. (Relationships are reported involving preferred leisure activity (Frye, 1980) and degree course (Ellis, 1981). What is stressed, however, is that it is advantageous for the therapist to ascertain the client's PRS.

How is this achieved? Firstly it is claimed that at any time the representational system being employed is revealed by aperson's style of speaking, specifically in the predicates he uses - verbs, adjectives and adverbs. A person with a V PRS will tend to use predicates such as 'I see...', 'It appears to me' and 'I have a clear picture...'; someone with an A PRS will use phrases such as 'I hear...', 'It sounds to me..' and 'I tell myself...'; and expressions such as 'I feel', 'He's out of touch' and 'It's heavy going' will be favoured by someone with a K PRS. A second indication of representational system is direction of eye movement. It is claimed that a person accessing V information will tend to look upwards (left for remembering, right for constructing); a person looking horizontally left or right will be accessing A information (remembered and constructed, respectively), likewise looking downwards and to the left; a person looking down and to the right is accessing K information, and a final eye position is eyes unfocused and looking ahead which is interpreted as accessing visually represented information (Lankton 1980, p. 46).

Matching of Primary Representational Systems
NLP writers contend that by matching, mirroring or pacing the client's verbal and non-verbal behaviour, i.e. matching aspects of speech, gestures, body posture, breathing, blinking, etc, one is tuning in on the client's representation of the world and thereby facilitating rapport, understanding, trust, communication and so on. NLP writers are also

emphatic that by matching the client's PRS - i.e. using predicates in the same mode - rapport and therapist effectiveness will be considerably enhanced. Conversely, mismatching the client's PRS will impede communication, lead to misunderstandings, loss of rapport, and resistance (see, e.g. Bandler and Grinder, 1979, p.11). Consequently, according to the NLP model, to enhance one's effectiveness as a communicator one must establish the other person's PRS and match one's predicates, as well as other verbal and non-verbal behaviours, with those of the clients.

EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE CONCERNING THE PRS

Most experimental research into NLP has investigated the claims regarding the concept of PRS, notably the following three assertions:

1. The hypothesis that a person has a PRS which is observed in his choice of predicates: A number of studies have investigated this hypothesis by attempting to identify groups of people distinguished by their preferences for V, A or K predicates in their speech on simple interviewing or direct questioning (see Grinder and Bandler, 1976, p.12, for questioning procedure).

Beale (1981), Lange (1981), Dorn (1983a), Petroski (1985) and Coe and Scharcoff (1985) obtained no clear distinctions between groups of subjects in PRS based on predicates. Birholtz (1981), terms of a Falzett (1981), Johannsen (1982), Gumm, Walker and Day (1982), Graunke (1984), Faulkender (1985) and Ridings (1986) all report that a large majority of Ss have a preference for using K predicates. Birholtz (1981) found that this preference (in all 27 Ss) was stable over time, although Ridings (1986) found the K preference to be less stable; in this investigation of 65 Ss, 55 showed a clear PRS on initial testing. This was in the K mode for 53 of these Ss, but the number of these showing a K preference dropped to 40 after 6 weeks. Finally, in Shaw's (1978) study, none of a sample of 108 students could be identified as having a PRS in the V mode using verbal report, and none of Mattar's (1981) Ss had a predicate preference in the A mode.

Several investigators have explored the relation-ship between PRS, imagery material and imagery indices. Graunke (1984; see also Graunke and Roberts, 1985) gave Ss 10 imagery tasks associated with a particular modality (V, A, K or mixed). Ss' predicates

were directly related to the type of imagery; that is, Ss tended to switch from one mode to another according to the type of the imagery task. This does not support a trait concept of PRS. Beale (1981) also reported that predicate mode and material were related. Other negative findings regarding imagery and PRS were reported by Lange (1981), Johannsen (1982) and Fromme and Daniell (1984). For a discussion of strength of imagery preference and PRS see Grinder and Bandler (1976, p. 8-9).

Faulkender (1985) obtained no relationship between PRS in verbal report and performance on tasks involving the different perceptual modes (V, A and K). This author, however, reported some limited support for a classification of representational system using second and third preferences, and Pantin (1982) found a positive correspondence between S's dominant mode of predicate usage and self reports of imaginal style.

In view of the preponderance of negative results concerning the hypothesized PRS as exhibited by S's choice of predicates, it is worth noting some incidental positive findings that have been observed (but not yet replicated) by a number of investigators. For example, it was noted above that Johannsen (1982) observed some positive correlation between predicates and certain indices of imagery. Birholtz (1981) observed that the proportion of A predicates used by Ss was correlated with measures of well-being, socialization, achievement via conformance, and intellectual efficiency on the California Personality Inventory. O'Leary (1984) has reported a significant correlation between S's most accurate representational system as measured on the Affect Sensitivity Scale, and the thinking/feeling personality on the Myers-Briggs Type Indicator. Finally, Wilimek (1980) obtained some significant differences in predicate usage by highand low-adjustment couples describing satisfying and upsetting experiences.

2. The hypothesis that a person has a PRS which is observed in the direction of his eye movements:

Investigators of this hypothesis have generally looked for correlations between perceptual processing (V, A or K) and ocular movement, and for consistent differences between individuals in preferred direction of gaze. It may be mentioned in passing that the early writers on NLP surprisingly make hardly any reference to the existing experimental literature on eye movement and cognitive mode. Even in the '70s this work was quite extensive (see review by Ehrlichman and Weinberger, 1978). One of the early

prominent researchers was Kinsbourne; in one study (Kinsbourne, 1972) he observed that when solving verbal problems (interpreting proverbs), right-handed Ss tended to turn their head and eyes to the right, whereas with problems of calculation and visualizing familiar places they tended to look upwards and to the left. These relationships did not hold for left-handers. Although some of the findings on ocular gaze and cognitive mode may be compatible with the NLP model (e.g. Kinsbourne's spatial task) by and large the proposals are not supported in such studies.

No support for the NLP assertions on eye movements was found in investigations by Thomason, Arbuckle and Cady (1980), Beale (1981), Radosta (1982), Cody (1983), Dorn, Atwater, Jereb and Russell (1983), Petroski (1985), Farmer, Rooney and Cunningham (1985), Poffel and Cross (1985), Coe and Scharcoff (1985) and Elich, Thompson and Miller (1985). (1983) moreover found little stability over one week for the very marginal eye-movement preferences exhibited by Ss.Beale (1981) noted a predominance of upward eye movements regardless of stimulus; such movements are, in NLP terms, associated with the V mode, but Poffel and Cross (1985) found in their Ss that the responses associated with V and A occurred equally often (22% for both, and 6% for K; 50% of the time no eye movements were recorded at all). Wertheim, Habib and Cumming (1986) found more responses associated with the A mode for all types of materials; nevertheless, in accordance with the NLP model, Ss showed a significant tendency to make upward eye movements for visual items and some support for this also comes from a study by Hernandez (1981). No clear relationship has been reported between representational system as inferred by spoken predicates and by eye movements (Beale, 1981; Cole-Hitchcock, 1980; Gumm et al., 1982; Petroski, 1985; Coe and Scharcoff, 1985; Elich et al., 1985). ever, Cole-Hitchcock (1980) did find a consistency in indicators for PRS in the V and A mode from written responses and eye movements. This was not observed by Coe and Scharcoff (1985). Finally, Owens (1978) reported that combining data from eyemovements with those from predicates in verbal reports gave a statistically reliable means of determining PRS. This was notreplicated by Gumm et al. (1982) who also checked Owens's statistical result and found this had been incorrectly reported as significant.

3. The hypothesis that communicators may enhance effectiveness if they match their client's PRS in their choice of predicates: This hypothesis has been investigated by first observing the presumed PRS of Ss in their choice of predicates or in their eye movements and then subjecting Ss to an analogue counselling interview in which the counsellor is instructed either to use predicates which are congruent with Ss designated PRS or to deliberately mismatch the PRS. Occasionally a third condition in which there is neither matching nor mismatching (the 'unmatched' condition) has been employed. Ratings of interviewer qualities such as empathy, trustworthiness and attractiveness are then made by interviewees, interviewers and independent assessors using standard-Sometimes the experimental Ss are the ized scales. judges and they rate the quality of a given interview in which the different conditions of matching are manipulated.

In general, failure to confirm the hypothesis under discussion for such counsellor qualities as rapport, trustworthiness, perceived expertness and effectiveness, has been reported by Green (1981), Rebstock (1980), Frieden (1981), Dowd and Pety (1982),* Dowd and Hingst (1983), Dorn (1983b), Cody (1983), Schneider (1984) and Carbonell (1985). Moreover, Cody (1983) found that therapists who matched their client's language were rated as <u>less</u> trustworthy and <u>less</u> effective. Also, an incidental finding of Frieden (1981) was that predicate matching did appear to produce more eye contact, but paradoxically increased head-to-head distance. Appel (1983) failed to find any effect of congruency in PRS on the attractiveness of speakers as perceived by male and female Ss, although a significant effect involving Ss' secondary representational system when speaker and S were of the opposite sex was observed.

Support or partial support for the hypothesis comes from the following reports. Brockman (1981) found that interviewees rated a counsellor instructed to match predicates as more preferred and more empathic than one not so instructed; the empathy difference was also presented in judges' ratings. However, there was no difference in willingness to selfdisclose. Shobin (1980) found in an initial psycho-

^{*}Contrary to the references by Gumm et al. (1982) and Ellickson (1983), no positive findings for matching were reported by Dowd and Pety (op.cit.).

therapy interview that predicate matching gave higher ratings than a 'modified verbal pacing' method in which other verbal elements (e.g. voice tone, tempo and syntax)were matched but predicates mismatched. Schmedlon (1981) reported superiority of matching over mismatching for empathy but no differences for perceived level of regard and depth/value, smoothness/ ease and positive feeling. Falzett (1981) reported a similar positive finding for perceived trustworthiness. In contrast to studies mentioned earlier, Pantin (1982) reported that Ss evaluated more positively recordings of simulated counselling in which client and counsellor were matched for dominant predicate Ellickson (1981, 1983) obtained negative findings for the effect of matching on a number of scales, but ease of communication was superior in the matching condition for males only. Day (1985) found a 'matching strategy' (unspecified) gave superior scores for counsellors rated on expertness, attractiveness, trustworthiness and having utility. Paxton (1981) difference between matching and mismatching predicate systems on counsellor relationship but both methods were more effective than the no-matching condition. It was concluded that it was beneficial for counsellors to consistently use one representational system. Finally, Hammer (1983) has reported a favourable influence on perceived empathy of interviewer, if the latter tracks the predicates (i.e. matches them individually) of the interviewee rather than matches a single presumed PRS. Hammer concludes that PRS is not a useful concept but the predicates themselves have a perceptual significance because interviewers matched according to modality rather than responding with identical predicates. investigators who have used tracking rather than matching PRS include Ellickson (1981, 1983), Frieden (1981), Dowd and Pety (1982) and Dowd and Hingst (1983).

Several researchers have examined whether matching verbal material to S's preferred predicates improves task performance or potentiates the effectiveness of the material. For example, Kraft (1982) explored the effectiveness of audiotaped relaxation instructions framed predominantly in the V, A or K modes. No benefits were observed when the instructions were congruent with S's preferred predicate system. However, Yapko (1981a, 1981b) found that hypnotic relaxation instructions were increasingly effective when framed in the least to the most preferred mode, in line with NLP predictions. Talone (1983) found no evidence of a match in the mode of S's responses

(writing an essay) and mode of the experimenter's suggestions (V, A or K). Shaw (1978) found no effect of matching material to be recalled to S's preferred mode. Cody (1983) did not observe any expressed preferences due to matching amongst Ss for audiotaped vignettes concerning common pleasant experiences. However Pantin (1982) found that performance on a memory task was facilitated when mode of item presentation was congruent with S's preferred mode of predicate usage, and Mattar (1981) found some evidence of greater ease of comprehension for segments of spoken material congruent with S's inferred PRS (V or K).

Comments

In 1984. Sharpley reviewed the experimental evidence for the tenets of NLP relating to the PRS. He concluded that there was no support for the identification of the notional PRS by predicates and eye movements and no consistent evidence for the alleged benefits of predicate matching in counselling. Dorn, Brunson, Bradford and Atwater (1983) also concluded from their review of the literature that there was no demonstrably reliable method of assessing the hypothesized PRS. Sharpley's review was criticized by Einspruch and Forman (1985) to which a rejoinder has been issued (Sharpley, 1987) in which the writer restates his position in the light of further evidence. The objections and counter-objections will not be fully discussed here and the reader is referred to the original papers, and to criticisms of research made by Beck and Beck (1984).

The present author is satisfied that the assertions of NLP writers concerning representational systems have been objectively and fairly investigated and found to be lacking. These assertions are stated in unequivocal terms by the originators of NLP and it is clear from their writings that phenomena such as representational systems, predicate preferences and eye-movement patterns are claimed to be potent psychological processes, easily and convincingly demonstrable on training courses by tutors and trainees following simple instructions, and, indeed, in interactions in Therefore, in view of the absence of everyday life. any objective evidence provided by the original proponents of the PRS hypothesis, and the failure of subsequent empirical investigations to adequately support it, it may well be appropriate now to conclude that there is not, and never has been, any substance to the conjecture that people represent their world internally in a preferred mode which may be inferred from their choice of predicates and from their eye movements.

These conclusions, and the failure of investigators to convincingly demonstrate the alleged benefits of predicate matching seriously question the role of such a procedure in counselling. It may be however that the general process of matching linguistic style and other verbal and non-verbal behaviours is of value, and this would still be consistent with NLP formulations. In accordance with this, some writers have suggested that with increasing familiarity there may be a tendency in counselling (and probably other) interactions for each participant to accommodate the other's linguistic style - types of verb phrase, sentence length, and so on (Beiber, Patton and Fuhriman, 1977; Mercier and Johnson, 1984). Also, a test by Sandhu (1984) of the benefits of mirroring non-verbal behaviour (movements of extremities and posture directly, other movements indirectly) was positive for empathy although not for trustworthiness or positive interaction.

CONCLUSION

This verdict on NLP is, as the title indicates, an interim one. Einspruch and Forman (1985) are probably correct in insisting that the effectiveness of NLP therapy undertaken in authentic clinical contexts of trained practitioners has not yet been properly investigated. If it turns out to be the case that these therapeutic procedures are indeed as rapid and powerful as is claimed, no one will rejoice more than the present author. If however these claims fare no better than the ones already investigated then the final verdict on NLP will be a harsh one indeed.

REFERENCES

N.B. The abbreviation $\underline{\text{DAI}}$ denotes $\underline{\text{Dissertation Abstracts}}$ International.

Appel, P. (1983) 'Matching of Representational Systems and Interpersonal Attraction', <u>DAI</u>, <u>43</u>, 3021B.

Bandler, R. and Grinder, J.(1979) Frogs into Princes, Moab, UT: Real People Press.

Beale, R.P. (1981) 'The Testing of a Model for the Representation of Consciousness', <u>DAI</u>, <u>41</u>, 3565B.

Beck, C.E. and Beck, E.A. (1984) 'Test of the Eye-Movement

Beck, C.E. and Beck, E.A. (1984) 'Test of the Eye-Movement Hypothesis of Neurolinguistic Programming: a Rebuttal of Conclusions', Perceptual and Motor Skills, 58, 175-176. Beiber, M.R., Patton, M.J. and Fuhriman, A.J.(1977) 'A Meta-

- language Analysis of Counselor and Client Verb Usage in Counseling', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 24, 264-71.
- Birholtz, L.S. (1981) 'Neurolinguistic Programming: Testing some Basic Assumptions', DAI, 42, 2042B.
- Brockman, W. (1981) 'Empathy Revisited: the Effect of Representational System Matching on Certain Counseling Process and Outcome Variables', <u>DAI</u>, <u>41</u>, 3421A. Carbonell, D.A. (1985) 'Representational Systems: an Empirical
- Approach to Neurolinguistic Programming', <u>DAI</u>, <u>46</u>, 2798B.
- Cody, S.G. (1983) 'The Stability and Impact of the Primary Representational System in Neurolinguistic Programming: a Critical Examination', DAI, 44, 1232B.
- Coe, W.C. and Scharcoff, J.A. (1985) 'An Empirical Evaluation of the Neurolinguistic Programming Model', <u>International</u> Journal of Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis, 33, 310-318.
- Cole-Hitchcock, S. (1980) 'A Determination of the Extent to which a Predominant Representational System Can be Identified through Written and Verbal Communication and Eye Scanning Patterns', <u>DAI</u>, <u>41</u>, 1907B-1908B.
- R.C.G. (1985) 'Students' Perceptions of Neurolinguistic Programming Strategies', DAI, 46, 1333B.
- Dorn, F.J. (1983a) 'Assessing Primary Representational System (PRS) Preference for Neurolinguistic Programming (NLP) using Three Methods', Counselor Education and Supervision, 23, 149–156.
- Dorn, F.J. (1983b)'The Effects of Counselor-Client Predicate Use in Counselor Attractiveness', American Mental Health Counselor's Association Journal, 5, 22-30.
- Dorn, F., Atwater, M., Jereb, R. and Russell, R. (1983) 'Determining the Reliability of the NLP Eye Movement Procedure', American Mental Health Counselors Association Journal, 5, 105-110.
- Dorn, F.J., Brunson, B.I., Bradford, I. and Atwater, M. (1983) 'Assessment of Primary Representational Systems with Neurolinguistic Programming: Examination of Preliminary Literature', American Mental Heath Counselors Association Journal. 5, 161–168.
- Dowd, T. and Hingst, A. (1983) 'Matching Therapists' Predicates: an In Vivo Test of Effectiveness', Perceptual and Motor Skills, 57, 207-210.
- Dowd, T. and Pety, J. (1982) 'Effect of Counselor Predicate Matching on Perceived Social Influence and Client Satisfaction', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 29, 206-209.
- Ehrlichman, H. and Weinberger, A. (1978) 'Lateral Eye Movements and Hemispheric Asymmetry: a Critical Review', Psychological Bulletin, 85, 1080-1101.
- Einspruch, E.L. and Forman, B.D. (1985) 'Observations Concerning Research Literature on Neurolinguistic Programming', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 32, 589-596.
- Elich, M., Thompson, R.W. and Miller, L. (1985) 'Mental Imagery as Revealed by Eye Movements and Spoken Predicates: a

- Test of Neurolinguistic Programming', <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, 32, 622-625.
- Ellickson, J.L. (1981) 'The Effect of Interviewers Responding Differentially to Subjects' Representational Systems as Indicated by Eye Movements', DAI, 41, 2754B-2755B.
- Ellickson, J.L. (1983) 'Representational Systems and Eye Movements in an Interview', <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, 30, 339-345.
- Ellis, J. (1981) 'Representational Systems: an Investigation of Sensory Predicate Use in a Self-Disclosure Interview', DAI, 41, 4244B- 4245B.
- Falzett, W.C. (1981) 'Matched versus Unmatched Primary Representational Systems and their Relatinoship to Perceived Trustworthiness in a Counseling Analogue', <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, <u>4</u>, 305-308.
- Farmer, A., Rooney, R. and Cunningham, J.R. (1985) 'Hypothesized Eye Movement of Neurolinguistic Programming: a Statistical Artefact', Perceptual and Motor Skills, 61, 717-718.
- Faulkender, N.A. (1985) '"Primary Representational Systems" and Task Performance: Empirical Assessment in Prison and Normal Populations', <u>DAI</u>, 45, 3937B.
- Frieden, F.P. (1981) 'Speaking the Client's Language: the Effects of Neurolinguistic Programming (Predicate Matching) on Verbal and Nonverbal Behaviours in Psychotherapy: a Single Case Design', <u>DAI</u>, <u>42</u>, 1171B.
- Fromme, D.K. and Daniell, J. (1984) 'Neurolinguistic Programming Examined: Imagery, Sensory Mode and Communication', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 31, 387-390.
- Frye, M. (1980) 'An Analysis of the Relationship between Leisure Interests and Representational Systems among College Freshman Students with Implications for Leisure Counseling', DAI, 41, 2764A.
- Graunke, B.R. (1984) 'An Evaluation of Neurolinguistic Programming; the Impact of Varied Imaging Tasks upon Sensory Predicates', DAI, 45, 1913B.
- Graunke, B.R. and Roberts, T. (1985) 'Neurolinguistic Programming: the Impact of Imagery Tasks on Sensory Predicate Usage', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 32, 525-530.
- Green, M.A. (1981) 'Trust as Affected by Representational System Predicates', <u>DAI</u>, <u>41</u>, 3159B-3160B.
- Grinder, J. and Bandler, R. (1976) The Structure of Magic II, Palo Alto, Ca: Science and Behavior Books.
- Gumm, W.B., Walker, H.K. and Day, H.D. (1982) 'Neurolinguistics Programming: Method or Myth?' Journal of Counseling Psychology, 29, 327-330.
- Hammer, A.L. (1983) 'Matching Perceptual Predicates: Effects on Perceived Empathy in a Counseling Analogue', <u>Journal of Counseling Psychology</u>, <u>30</u>, 172-179.
- Hernandez, V.O. (1981) 'A Study of Eye Movement Patterns in the Neurolinguistic Programming Model', DAI, 42, 1587B.

- Johannsen, C.A. (1982) 'Predicates, Mental Imagery in Discrete Sense Modes, and Level of Stress: the Neurolinguistic Programming Typologies', <u>DAI</u>, <u>43</u>, 2709B.
- Kinsbourne, M. (1972) 'Eye and Head Turning Indicates Cerebral Lateralization', Science, 179, 539-541.
- Kraft, W.A. (1982) 'The Effects of Primary Representational System Congruence on Relaxation in a Neurolinguistic Programming Model', DAI, 43, 2372B.
- Lange, D.E. (1981) 'A Validity Study of the Construct "Most Highly Valued Representational System" in Human Auditory and Visual Perceptions', DAI, 41, 4266B.
- Lankton, S. (1980) Practical Magic, Cupertino, Ca: Meta.
- Mattar, A.T. (1981) 'Primary Representational Systems, as a Basis for Improved Comprehension and Communication', DAI, 41, 3162B.
- Mercier, M.A. and Johnson, M. (1984) 'Representational System, Predicate Use and Convergence in Counseling: Gloria Revisited', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 31, 161-169.
- O'Leary, R.A. (1984) 'Perceptual Accuracy of Affect Identification and Relationship to Representational System and Personality Type', <u>DAI</u>, <u>45</u>, 2043A.
- Owens, L.F. (1978) 'An Investigation of Eye-Movements and Representational Systems', DAI, 38, 4992B.
- Pantin, H. (1982) 'The Relationship between Subjects' Predominant Sensory Predicate Use, their Preferred Representational System and Self-Reported Attitudes towards Similar versus Different Therapist-Patient Dyads', <u>DAI</u>, <u>43</u>,2350B.
- Paxton, L.K. (1981) 'Representational Systems and Client Perception of the Counseling Relationship', <u>DAI</u>, <u>41</u>,3888A.
- Petroski, A.(1985) 'Representational Systems in the Neurolinguistic Programming Model', <u>DAI</u>, <u>46</u>, 16978-1698B.
- Poffel, S.A. and Cross, H.J. (1985) Neurolinguistic Programing: a Test of the Eye-Movement Hypothesis', Perceptual and Motor Skills, 61, 1262.
- Radosta, R. (1982) 'An Investigation of Eye Accessing Cues', DAI, 43,883B.
- Rebstock, M.E. (1980) 'The Effects of Training in Matching Techniques on the Development of Rapport between Client and Counselor during Initial Counseling Interviews', DAI, 41, 946A.
- Ridings, D.E. (1986) 'Neurolinguistic Programming's Primary Representational System - Does It Exist?' DAI, 47, 1285B.
- Sandhu, D.A. (1984) 'The Effects of Mirroring vs Non-Mirroring of Clients' Non-Verbal Behaviors on Empathy, Trustworthiness, and Positive Interaction in Cross Cultural Counseling Dyads', <u>DAI</u>, <u>45</u>, 1042A.

 Schmedlon, G.W. (1981) 'The Impact of Sensory Modality Matching
- Schmedlon, G.W. (1981) 'The Impact of Sensory Modality Matching on the Establishment of Rapport', <u>DAI</u>, <u>42</u>, 2080B.
- Schneider, M.E. (1984) 'The Relationship Among Primary Representational Systems and Counselor Empathy, Trustworthiness, Attractiveness, Expertness and Subject

Preference', DAI, 45, 418A.

Sharpley, C. (1984) 'Predicate Matching in NLP: a Review of Research on the Preferred Representational System', Journal of Counseling Psychology, 31, 238-248.

Sharpley, C. (1987) 'Research Findings on Neurolinguistic Programming: Non-supportive Data or Untestable Theory?' Journal of Counseling Psychology, 34, 103-107.

Shaw, D.L. (1978) 'Recall as Effected by the Interaction of Presentation Representational System and Primary Representational System', <u>DAI</u>, <u>38</u>, 5931A.

Shobin, M.(1980) 'An Investigation of the Effect of Verbal Pacing on Initial Therapeutic Rapport', <u>DAI</u>, <u>41</u>, 1960A.

Talone, J.M. (1983) 'The Use of Sensory Predicates to Predict Responses to Sensory Suggestions', DAI, 44, 618B-619B.

Thomason, T.C., Arbuckle, T. and Cady, D. (1980) 'Test of the Eye-Movement Hypothesis of Neurolinguistic Programming', Perceptual and Motor Skills, 51, 230.

Wertheim, E.H., Habib, C. and Cumming, G. (1986) 'Test of the Neurolinguistic Programming Hypothesis that Eye Movements Relate to Processing Imagery', Perceptual and Motor Skills, 62, 523-529.

Wilimek, J.(1980) 'The Use of Language Representational Systems by High and Low Marital Adjustment Couples', DAI, 40, 3914A.

Yapko, M.D. (1981a) 'Neurolinguistic Programming, Hypnosis and Interpersonal Influence', DAI, 41, 3204B.

Yapko, M.D. (1981b) 'The Effect of Matching Primary Representational System Predicates on Hypnotic Relaxation', American Journal of Clinical Hypnosis, 23, 169-175.